

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/609,073 06/3		06/30/2000	CONNIE T MARSHALL	ODS-9	2964
1473	7590	08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS				ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
50TH FLO		IE AMERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YOR	K, NY 10	0020-1105	,	3714	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Q	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/609,073	MARSHALL ET AL.	MARSHALL ET AL.	
navicery nearen	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Steven Ashburn	3714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment (eal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applica	y to a ition in	
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WITH 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The period of the period	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date ire later than SIX MONTHS from VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejecting of the FINAL REJECTION. der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the apprenance of the comments of the section of the	on. See MPEP opriate extension	
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the (timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months after CFR 1.704(b).	I for reply originally set in the final er the mailing date of the final reje	Office action; or	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C 				
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sir	mplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claim	S.	
NOTE:		• •		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed	amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request to application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has bee <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	en considered but does NO	T place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which were	e newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow		••		
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-19 and 38-48</u> .		·		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner. \bigcap	1	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	•	.//		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	(-)(-)	MARK SAGE	R	
		PRIMARY EXAM		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has fully considered the applicant's arguments but finds them unpersuasive. The rejections set forth in the office action dated Feb. 11, 2004 are respectfully maintained..

Continuation of 10. Other: The amended claims are rejected based on the same reasons set forth in the office action dated Feb. 11, 2004.